

Assessing Cause of Defect Using Failure Mode and Effect Analysis

Berty Dwi Rahmawati ^{a,1,*}, Nadia Nisya Budi Maharani ^a

^a Faculty of Industrial Engineering, Universitas Pembangunan Nasional Veteran Yogyakarta, 55283, Indonesia

¹ berty.dr@upnyk.ac.id

* Corresponding Author

ARTICLE INFO

Article history

Received December 23, 2022

Revised January 09, 2023

Accepted March 14, 2023

Keywords

Defect;

FMEA;

Ishikawa diagram;

Quality

ABSTRACT

A company should implement good quality management to maintain consumer confidence in producing quality products. FMEA serves to identify product failures in a process and the causes of defects or losses that occur during the production process of a product, component, or system. The research aims to analyze quality control and identify production defects that cause a decrease in quality. The samples studied were rejected goods in production activities. Forty damaged parts were used as the samples in this study. This study used both qualitative and quantitative analysis. Quantitative analysis was conducted to determine the type of rejection and then to rank the risk, while qualitative analysis was performed with Ishikawa diagram to evaluate risk priorities. This research not only helps identify and assess the root cause of rejected goods but also affects the following year's planning by proposing measures to reduce risk. Check sheets and histograms are used to present further research. The analysis results show two classifications of defects in production: size error and painting error, with the most dominant defect, size error, and equal to 73.17%. Based on the analysis of the causes of defects, several factors were found that caused product defects; man, material, method, machine. The man factor has the highest value in contributing to defect, with an RPN score of 192.

This is an open-access article under the [CC-BY-SA](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/) license.



1. Introduction

Quality control is crucial to maintaining a company's reputation in the eyes of consumers and is critical to business success, growth, and competitiveness. The principles of quality assurance apply to manufactured goods and services. As the industrial era is increasing, the diversity of these products forces manufacturers to continue improving the quality of the products that follow the customer's needs. Defective products are a significant source of waste. Some companies face severe problems due to defective products that lead to consumer claims. The company must compensate the consumer if a defective product passes to the consumer and causes a loss. This situation made the company lose potential customers therefore companies need to create good quality in the products they produce and maintain consistency to remain in line with market demands by implementing a quality control system for the process activities undertaken (Mizuno, 2020).

Quality is a fundamental factor in deciding consumers' products or services. This election is determined without distinguishing the status of consumers, whether individuals, industrial groups, or

others. Production should be deployed according each quality characteristic (Dai et al., 2011). Hence, quality is critical for business success, growth, and improvement of the company's competitive position. Quality is defined as meeting customer requirements specifications without the slightest defect (Judi, et al., 2011).

PT Pura Engineering is an industrial company engaged in manufacturing, with its primary product being agricultural machinery. Based on the actual conditions, quality control in this company runs in the preparation, fabrication, assembly, and machining processes. With such a strict quality control process, product defects are still found. One of the production errors occurred due to nesting results that did not match the designed drawings. Defects in the process affect subsequent operations and can cause more defects by means will act in the fabrication and assembly process, which makes an incoherent machining process and lead to a defective product. When a company produces a defective product, the company will discard the product and turn it into waste or rework the product. Both options will equally contribute to production losses. Based on this background, a failure rate of resulting product is analyzed by analyzing defects that occur in such a process.

The FMEA method was first introduced within the aerospace industry and then widely utilized in many industries (Liu et al., 2019; Sui, Ding & Wang, 2020). It is one of the industry's most recognized and widely used proactive risk assessment methods (Shebl et al., 2012). Failure Mode is the failure of a product or process according to its function or cause of loss, while Effect Analysis analyzes the possible consequences of each failure (McDermott et al., 2013). This paper contributes to identify, and asses the root cause of reject goods and affect the next year's planning by proposing measures to reduce risk. Applying the FMEA method brings increased value to the process, resulting in a clear assessment of the risks in the organization (Kardos et al., 2021). Therefore, FMEA is a powerful analysis method (L. Wang et al., 2021).

2. Method

The aim of this study is to analyze quality control and identify production defects that cause a decrease in quality with Failure Mode Effect Analysis (FMEA) methods. This study uses the FMEA method by collecting primary data through interviews and secondary data, historical data on production reject goods. All the data that has been collected will then be calculated and judged whether it is within the control range. The methodology of the article is based on the essence of the process FMEA. Among the basic steps of FMEA, it can be achieved with the following steps (Kardos et al., 2021):

1. Identify the subject of the study and define the scope, identify functions, requirements, and specifications.
2. Identify possible ways of problems by defining the type of product defect
 - a. Identify the type of product defect based on its proportion.
 - b. Make sure that the data is in its margin by using a P-chart

P-chart (damage proportion control chart) is a tool that can be used for statistical process control. Control chart p was chosen because quality control is an attribute. Monthly records are sampled for non-permanent observations and damaged (defective) products. The P control map shows data changes from time to time, including the maximum and minimum limits, which are the boundaries of the control area. Control charts are used to help detect deviations by setting control limits (Kim & Lim, 2021). This step were carried out by calculating the Central Line (CL), Upper Control Limit (UCL), and Lower Control Limit (LCL). Suppose that the fraction of nonconforming items is \bar{p} and a indicates items that get inspected, with type 3-sigma control limits the formulas for this calculation were as in equation (1) for Central Line (CL), equation (2) for Upper Control Limit (UCL), and equation (3) for Lower Control Limit (LCL) (H. Wang, 2009).

$$CL = \frac{\sum Total Defect}{\sum amount of goods} \quad (1)$$

$$UCL = \bar{p} + 3 \sqrt{\frac{\bar{p}(1 - \bar{p})}{a}} \quad (2)$$

$$LCL = \bar{p} - 3 \sqrt{\frac{\bar{p}(1 - \bar{p})}{a}} \quad (3)$$

c. Create and analyze Pareto Charts and Scatter Diagrams

A Pareto diagram is a diagram that is used to determine a priority category of events so that the most dominant value can be determined by looking at the cumulative value (Grosfeld-Nir et al, 2007). The Pareto principle states with a rule that can be interpreted that 80% of quality problems in a product are caused by 20% of the causes of failure in production, so that the types of failures/defects with a cumulative reach of 80% are selected, with the assumption that the 80% can represent all types of defects that occur. The Pareto chart is an illustration that sorts data classifications from left to right according to the highest to lowest ranking order. The highest rank indicates the top priority in its completion (Besterfield, 2009).

3. Identify and assess risk by using Ishikawa Diagrams

The Ishikawa diagram is included as one of the seven basic quality control methods (Perera & Navaratne, 2016). The fishbone or the Ishikawa diagram can help during the initial process of identifying problems. An Ishikawa diagram is one of powerful tools in calculation the management features on the quality yield (Agrawal, 2021).

4. Recommend FMEA measure and result

The Ranking of the Failure will be determined by Risk Priority Number (RPN) calculated by multiplying severity of failures (S), the probability of occurrence (O), and the probability of failure detection (D) for the formula of RPN can be seen in equation (4) (J. Liu et al., 2023).

$$RPN = S \times O \times D \quad (4)$$

3. Results and Discussion

FMEA is a method used to examine the causes of defects or failures during the production process, evaluate risk priorities that cause work accidents, and help take action to avoid problems identified as work accident hazards. The FMEA method combines human knowledge and experience to Identify and evaluate potential failures of a product or process, Assist in the analysis of corrective or preventive actions, and Eliminate or reduce the possibility of failure (J. et al., 2017). While Design FMEA is a type of FMEA that focuses on failure modes caused by design flaws and aims to maximize a design's quality, reliability, cost, and maintainability. FMEA design is carried out on a product or service/service at the design level during the design stage. The goal is to analyze a design system and determine how the failure mode affects the system's operation (Wawolumaja et al, 2013).

The result of the research are explained as follows. Table 1 show the type and sum of product that get defect during production process. The subject of this research is the number of defective products on the production floor. Historical data obtained 40 types of defective products. The defective product data is used to make the P control chart. This P control chart is intended to ensure that the data obtained is still within its control limit. This chart is obtained by calculating the central line, upper control limit and lower control limit. Based on Table 1, it was found that 40 defective products caused production losses. The 40 defective product is all the component that assemble the final agro machine. The 40 defective products were then analyzed by using P-Chart and classified based on the type of cause of the defect. The result of the P-Chart is all the product is within its control range (see Fig.

1(a)). The result of classification is two classification errors were found in the production process; size error and painting error. Size error is an error in the size of the product, which causes the product results doesn't suit the design while a painting error is a deviation in the painting process that causes an unmatched product color.

Table 1. Defective product

No	Date	Products	No	Date	Products
1	18/01/2021	Dandy roll plain D	21	21/07/2021	Flat pulley 85
2	27/01/2021	Middle basin	22	30/07/2021	Rotary head body B
3	18/01/2021	Outlet blower	23	19/08/2021	Husker stand 2 H2PE
4	15/02/2021	Impeller boiler ZUG	24	30/08/2021	Flend
5	12/03/2021	Outer slide	25	10/09/2021	Frame blower 1
6	15/03/2021	Alternator electric box stand	26	10/09/2021	Upper stair 4
7	18/03/2021	Casing screw HBI 6	27	22/09/2021	Stand for tank
8	25/03/2021	Front cover 1 – 717	28	22/09/2021	Husker Stair-C
9	06/04/2021	Impeller BD 10 T	29	27/09/2021	Left Frame
10	12/04/2021	Conch V2 blower fan	30	27/09/2021	Valve rotary 1624
11	15/04/2021	Reducer outlet SD IT	31	28/09/2021	Ducting outlet blower
12	28/04/2021	Bearing stand	32	28/09/2021	Ducting 3 DD 10
13	03/05/2021	Back cover RU 1624 L shaft	33	15/09/2021	Body profile 1
14	12/05/2021	Rolling spindle B	34	18/09/2021	R Casing
15	22/05/2021	Triangle	35	06/10/2021	Reducer Polish
16	29/05/2021	Corn extend	36	06/10/2021	Ducting 1 DD 10
17	21/06/2022	V Pulley GX 200 NS80	37	07/11/2021	Arm 1 VB
18	26/06/2021	Rod seal ring	38	10/11/2021	Rotary cover
19	05/07/2021	1P-FP Transmission frame	39	07/12/2021	Valve 2 Rotary 1624
20	08/07/2021	Mounting gear pump pulley	40	23/12/2021	Ducting

Based on the classification data, the proportion of each defect is obtained. A Bar-Chart explains the depiction of this proportion. The Bar-Chart is used to identify the most common type of damage. From the checksheet data, the most significant type of defect is size error. The number of size error defects is 292 while the number of painting errors is 58. Bar-Chart data is presented in Fig. 1(b). In making Ishikawa Diagram it is important to perform data stratification so that patterns and variable relation can be clearly illustrated. Scatterplot have been used widely and one useful technique for illustrating correlation and pattern of low dimensional data (Nguyen et al., 2020). The results of the scatterplot diagram of the two variables in this study, namely total defects and the number of inspected items are shown in Fig. 1(c) and Fig. 1(d).

Based on the classification and proportion calculation, the most significant type of defect is size error. So, the size error type will be put into calculation. An Ishikawa diagram is used to determine the factors that cause this type of defect. Ishikawa diagram is a reactive risk management method that identifies potential causes of a problem to find the root cause of the situation through a brainstorming session (Wong, 2011). The diagram will identify the causes of size errors in factors/categories of man/personnel, material, mission/environment, method, machine and management (Carvalho et al., 2021). Man/Personnel categories lies in analysis that related with people knowledge. Material categories includes raw material, consumables and information. Methods categories including all performance during making the product. Machine is related to tools to generate data. Mission categories has something to do with environment, and Management categories is something related to leadership. Of all the contributing factors, it is found that there are four main leading categories that contribute to producing defective product. These four categories are man, material, method, and machine. The Ishikawa diagram in this study is shown in Fig. 2.

FMEA analysis is conducted by determining the level of three risk factor such as severity of failures (S), the probability of occurrence (O), and the probability of failure detection (D). The final risk priorities are determined by RPN (Risk Priority Number), which can be obtained by multiplying the (S), (O), and (D).




Fig. 1. The result of using quality control tools (a) Type of reject (b) P-Chart (c) Percentage of rejected goods (d) Scatterplot




Fig. 2. Ishikawa diagram

Following the quantitative step, an analysis of size error rejection is carried out. The base data for this analysis is taken from the Ishikawa Diagram. The following calculation is determining the level of severity of failures (S), the probability of occurrence (O), and the probability of failure detection (D). This step were performed by 3 production expert in the company with different educational background and full working experience. Rating is given on a scale of 1-10, with 1 being the lowest score given in each item then the average number will be put into research. The next step is to determine the RPN value by multiplying the severity, occurrence, and detection values. The [Table 2](#) shows analysis of size error reject.

To find the RPN value as shown in [Table 3](#) by multiplying the severity of failures (S), the probability of occurrence (O), and the probability of failure detection (D) values whose data is obtained from [Table 2](#). Each of the main factors will be calculated and then ranked. The man/human factor is the main contributor to product defects, which means when the operator misinterprets the dimensions, it will cause high product defects. This number becomes higher when the operator sends

the incorrect thickness of the material, the method used causes a bending result, and the machine used is already worn out.

Table 2. Rating scale of size error reject

Main Factor	Root cause	S	Process Failure Causes	O	Control	D
Man	Error in dimension interpretation	8	unthorough	8	Training	3
Material	Wrong thickness	7	different material types	6	Clarify inventory tags	4
	Oblique plate bending results	5	Too much reference to laser marking	3	inspection checking	4
Method	Unclear dimensions in the drawings	5	unprepared images	5	Perform image analysis & complete sub comps	5
Machine	Brittle welds	6	scale and dirt on the welding machine	4	Checking and doing machine maintaining	4
	Worn lathe tool	6	Frequent friction	3		3

Table 3. Risk priority number result

Main Factor	Root cause	RPN	Sum	Rank
Man	Error in dimension interpretation	192	192	1
Material	Wrong thickness	168	168	3
Method	Oblique plate bending results	60	185	2
	Unclear dimensions in the drawings	125		
Machine	Brittle welds	96	150	4
	Worn lathe tool	54		

4. Conclusion

The application of the FMEA has led to obtaining two classification errors in the production process; size error and painting error. The most dominant defect is size error that gives a number equal to 73.17%. Of all the contributing factors, four main leading factors contribute to producing defective product. These four factors are man, material, method, and machine. The man/human factor is the main contributor to product defects; it gives an RPN score of 192. FMEA is a tool that not only helps identify and assess the root cause of reject goods but also affects the overall next year planning by proposing measures to reduce risk. FMEA contributes to the company's future continuous improvement program in terms of quality control that leads to maintaining a company's reputation. The results of this study imply that there are weaknesses in the standard procedures performed by operators. Therefore, this study's results suggest improving standard operating procedures for production workers and improving operators' skills by providing tiered training.

Author Contribution: All authors contributed equally to the main contributor to this paper. All authors read and approved the final paper.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Agrawal, M. (2021). Impact of Ishikawa on the analysis of data in mechanical industries. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 81, 1040-1045. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.04.376>.
- Besterfield, D. H. (2009). *Quality Control*. 8th edition. New Jersey: Pearson Prentice Hall. <https://www.worldcat.org/title/quality-control/oclc/193908300>.
- Carvalho, R., Lobo, M., Oliveira, M., Oliveira, A. R., Lopes, F., Souza, J., Ramalho, A., Viana, J., Alonso, V., Caballero, I., Santos, J. V., & Freitas, A. (2021). Analysis of root causes of problems affecting the quality of hospital administrative data: A systematic review and Ishikawa diagram. *International Journal of*

- Medical Informatics*, 156. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2021.104584>.
- Dai, W., Maropoulos, P. G., Cheung, W. M., & Tang, X. (2011). Decision-making in product quality based on failure knowledge. *International Journal of Product Lifecycle Management*, 5(2–4), 143–163. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJPLM.2011.043185>.
- Grosfeld-Nir, A., Ronen, B., & Kozlovsky, N. (2007). The Pareto managerial principle: when does it apply?. *International Journal of Production Research*, 45(10), 2317–2325. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207540600818203>.
- Judi, H. M., Genasan, D., & Jenal, R. (2011). *Quality control implementation in manufacturing companies: motivating factors and challenges*. INTECH Open Access Publisher. <https://doi.org/10.5772/15997>.
- Kardos, P., Lahuta, P., & Hudakova, M. (2021). Risk Assessment Using the FMEA method in the Organization of Running Events. *Transportation Research Procedia*, 55, 1538–1546. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2021.07.143>.
- Kim, J., & Lim, C. (2021). Customer complaints monitoring with customer review data analytics: An integrated method of sentiment and statistical process control analyses. *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, 49, 101304. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aei.2021.101304>.
- Liu, H. C., Chen, X. Q., Duan, C. Y., & Wang, Y. M. (2019). Failure mode and effect analysis using multi-criteria decision making methods: A systematic literature review. *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, 135, 881–897. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2019.06.055>.
- Liu, J., Wang, D., Lin, Q., & Deng, M. (2023). Risk assessment based on FMEA combining DEA and cloud model: A case application in robot-assisted rehabilitation. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 214, 119119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2022.119119>.
- Mikulak, R. J., McDermott, R., & Beauregard, M., (2013). *The Basics of FMEA*. <https://doi.org/10.1201/b16656>.
- Mizuno, S. (2020). *Management for quality improvement: the 7 new QC tools*. CRC Press. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003070450-3>.
- Nguyen, Q. V., Miller, N., Arness, D., Huang, W., Huang, M. L., & Simoff, S. (2020). Evaluation on interactive visualization data with scatterplots. *Visual Informatics*, 4(4), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.visinf.2020.09.004>.
- Perera, H. E., & Navaratne, S., (2016). Application of Pareto principle and Fishbone diagram for Waste Management in a Powder Filling Process. *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research*, 7(11), 181–184. <https://www.ijser.org/onlineResearchPaperViewer>.
- Shebl, N. A., Franklin, B. D., & Barber, N. (2012). Failure mode and effects analysis outputs: Are they valid? *BMC Health Services Research*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-12-150>.
- Sui, Y., Ding, R., & Wang, H. (2020). A novel approach for occupational health and safety and environment risk assessment for nuclear power plant construction project. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 258, 120945. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.120945>.
- Wang, H. (2009). Comparison of p control charts for low defective rate. *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis*, 53(12), 4210–4220. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csda.2009.05.024>.
- Wang, L., Yan, F., Wang, F., & Li, Z. (2021). FMEA-CM based quantitative risk assessment for process industries—A case study of coal-to-methanol plant in China. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 149, 299–311. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2020.10.052>.
- Wawolumaja, R., and Muis, R., (2013). *Diktat Kuliah Pengendalian & Penjaminan Kualitas (Ie-501) Failure Mode Effect Analysis (FMEA)*. Universitas Kristen Maranatha. Bandung. <https://adoc.pub/diktat-kuliah-pengendalian-penjaminan-kualitas-ie-501151714984153426.html>.
- Wong, K.C. (2011). Using an Ishikawa Diagram as A Tool to Assist Memory and Retrieval of Relevant Medical Cases from the Medical Literature. *Journal of Medical Reports*. 5(120). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1752-1947-5-120>.